and by equation (2), therefore,

$$\frac{dy}{d\theta} = - R \sin \theta .$$

In the same fashion,

$$\frac{\mathrm{dx}}{\mathrm{d}\theta} = - R \cos \theta ,$$

and the final expressions for x and y are obtained by integration:

$$x(\theta_s) = \int_{\theta_s}^{\theta_o} R(\theta) \cos \theta \, d\theta ,$$

$$y(\theta_s) = \int_{\theta_s}^{\theta_o} R(\theta) \sin \theta \, d\theta .$$
(3)

The function y(x) is thus available in parametric form, the parameter being the cutting angle θ_{c} at the endpoint of the integration.

The impingement angle θ_0 can lie between 0° and 180°. The local angle θ_s falls to 0° at the deepest point of the cut. θ_s cannot fall below 0°, because negative θ_s would mean the rock somehow were reconsolidating and filling up the cut. It follows that the depth h of the cut is given by the formula

$$h = \int_0^\theta R(\theta) \sin \theta \, d\theta \quad , \tag{4}$$

which is the main result of this section. The task remains for dynamics to determine the local radius of curvature R as a function of angle θ .

One further geometrical assumption will be made to simplify the fluid dynamics, namely that the depth d of the jet stream is everywhere small compared with the radius of curvature R:

$$d \ll R \text{ or } d_0 \ll h$$
. (5)

The two inequalities are essentially equivalent. The theory is tailored to deep cuts, but the predictions agree with data measured by Olsen and Thomas down to $h/d_0 \approx 1$. Shallower cuts give way to pitting and spalling, so the theory seems valid over the whole regime where the notion of "cutting" itself is warranted.